

Southeast Florida Invasive Upland Plants Working Group

PROJECT SCORING FORM (revised 10/99)

PROJECT TITLE: _____

PROPOSER: _____

DATE: _____

MINIMUM ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

ELIGIBLE: Yes No

Yes No Project is on public land managed for conservation purposes; or, documentation of conservation status is provided.

Yes No Project proposes treatment of EPPC Category I or II plant species. Project uses Current Control Technology (CCT).

Yes No Proposing agency provides commitment of perpetual follow-up maintenance.

Yes No Project proposes treatment of new or local (outlier) infestations or containment of invasions to new areas.

Points x Weight = Score BIOLOGICAL / ECOLOGICAL CRITERIA

SCORING

In the opinion of the rater:

0 points = Criterion does not apply or project does not satisfy criterion

1 point = Project adequately meets the criterion

2 points = Project is greatly surpasses criterion

_____ x 5 = _____ Project attacks exotic plant(s) that are a high priority in the region and pose a serious threat to the habitat on the project site and any proximal site(s).

_____ x 3 = _____ Project benefits threatened or endangered species and/or their habitat.

_____ x 3 = _____ Project does not require other significant (unfunded or unfeasible) habitat modifications or restoration elements to be successful (e.g., hydrologic restoration, impractical fire management logistics).

_____ x 5 = _____ Project site has a high potential for natural revegetation/restoration.

SUBTOTAL = _____

Points x Weight = Score ADMINISTRATIVE CRITERIA

_____ x 3 = _____ Project is cost effective: matching funds secured, in-kind services, volunteer/inmate labor used, or, labor forces ready to mobilize, equipment purchased and administrative overhead rate is not excessive, pay scale not excessive.

_____ x 1 = _____ Project builds on established restoration/exotic control programs.

_____ x 3 = _____ Project includes a public outreach/education component.

SUBTOTAL = _____

_____ TOTAL SCORE